



**Building Climate Risk Assessments from Local Vulnerability and Exposure** Part 1: Theoretical Framework for Demand-Driven Climate Adaptation Support

Alex Ruane (NASA GISS) and Sanketa Kadam (NASA GISS & Columbia University)





# About ARSET

# About ARSET

- **ARSET** provides accessible, relevant, and cost-free training on remote sensing satellites, sensors, methods, and tools.
- Trainings include a variety of applications of satellite data and are tailored to audiences with a variety of experience levels.







**ECOLOGICAL CONSERVATION** 











# **About ARSET Trainings**

- Online or in-person
- Live and instructor-led or asynchronous and self-paced
- Cost-free
- Bilingual and multilingual options
- Only use open-source software and data
- Accommodate differing levels of expertise
- Visit the <u>ARSET website</u> to learn more.









# Building Climate Risk Assessments from Local Vulnerability and Exposure **Overview**

# Why is Climate Risk Assessment Important?

- Climate change impacts and risks are becoming increasingly complex and more difficult to manage (IPCC AR6, 2022).
- Climate change impacts on infrastructure vary by region.
- Identifying at-risk assets and the types of climate conditions that drive problematic responses, stakeholders and scientists can co-develop risk information to suitably address those risks.



Credit: Scott Pena



# **Training Learning Objectives**

By following the approaches described in this training, participants will be able to:

- Recognize the dramatic contextual nature of climate risk assessments and adaptation planning
- Identify components of their own system that are vulnerable or exposed to climate risks
- Work with stakeholders to construct climate risk information that is useful for their decision-making processes
- Use risk information to identify adaptation strategies for implementation



# **Prerequisites**

275

- <u>Fundamentals of Remote Sensing</u>
- Introduction to NASA Resources for Climate Change Applications
- <u>Selecting Climate Change Projection Sets for Mitigation, Adaptation and Risk</u>
   <u>Management Applications</u>



# Introduction to NASA Resources for Climate Change Applications

Part 1: Climate Change Monitoring & Impacts Using Remote Sensing and Modeled Data Speakers: Sean McCartney & Amita Mehta

Part 2: Climate Change Future Scenarios, Impact Projection, and Adaptation Wednesday, October 6, 2021



Speakers: Alex Ruane & Daniel Bader

- Introduction to NASA observational and modeling capabilities for the climate system
- Fundamentals of climate change assessment methods
- Background on key terms and assumptions, describing scenarios, climate impact sectors, adaptation decision support



# Selecting Climate Change Projection Sets for Mitigation, Adaptation and Risk Management Applications

Part 1: What makes projection sets different? Part 2: How do you choose a projection set for your application? *Tuesday, September 20, 2022* 



Speakers: Alex Ruane & Meridel Phillips

- Making sense of the huge number of available climate data and modeling products
- Identifying critical climate information needs for a given application
- Tracking uncertainties related to selecting a subset of datasets, models, scenarios, variables, and time periods, all the way through decision support



# **Training Outline**

Part 1: Theoretical Framework for Demand-Driven Climate Adaptation Support

Tuesday, September 19, 2023 11:00–12:30pm and 3:00–4:30pm EDT (UTC-4:00) Part 2: Developing Climate Adaptation Support for NASA Centers

Thursday, September 21, 2023 11:00–12:30pm and 3:00–4:30pm EDT (UTC-4:00)

#### Homework

September 21, 2023 – Due October 5, 2023 – Posted on Training Webpage

A certificate of completion will be awarded to those who attend all live sessions and complete the homework assignment(s) before the given due date.



# Part 1 Objectives



By the end of Part 1, participants will be able to:

- Summarize the theory of demand-driven climate adaptation support
- List resources for adaptation support
- Recognize demonstrative examples of impact assessment based on empirical approaches and analysis of infrastructure



# How to Ask Questions

- Please put your questions in the Questions box and we will address them at the end of the webinar.
- Feel free to enter your questions as we go. We will try to address all questions during the Q&A session after the webinar.
- The remainder of the questions will be answered in the Q&A document, which will be posted to the training website about a week after the training.



### Part 1 – Trainers

# аę,

#### Alex Ruane

NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS)



#### Sanketa Kadam

NASA GISS & Columbia University







# Part 1 Theoretical Framework for Demand-Driven Climate Adaptation Support

# Four Threads Throughout this Presentation:



Thread 1: Basic Approach and Climate Risk Theory



Thread 2: Resources and Tools



Thread 3: Demonstrative Examples



Thread 4: End-to-end examples from the Climate Adaptation Science Investigators Phase 2 (CASI2) Initiative that is informing NASA Centers' efforts to increase resilience and adapt to climate risks.



# The Climate Adaptation Science Investigators (CASI) Initiative

What are the climate threats to NASA facilities, and how can we prepare for future challenges?



# **Observed Climate Risk at NASA**

Many NASA facilities have experienced climate and weatherrelated impacts in recent years.



Hurricane Sandy Damage to Wallops Flight Facility, October 2012

Wildfires reached within a mile of JPL, 2009 Rosenzweig et al., 2014 Michoud Assembly Facility Damage after Hurricane Zeta, October 2020





# **CASI** Mission:



Provide the latest scientific research on climate change to help NASA facilities managers adapt to increasing climate risks in timely and effective ways.

- **CASI Workgroups** are a collaboration of NASA subject matter experts and infrastructure management (teams of ~10 members per center).
- **CASI provides** a portfolio of key current and future climate risk information for Center managers protecting operations, assets, and workforce in an around their Centers. These products are co-generated and linked to decisions.

Rosenzweig et al, 2023 (in prep) Climate Adaptation Science Investigators Workgroup (CASI): A Partnership between Scientists and Facility Managers to Enhance Climate Resilience at NASA





# Climate Risk Assessment Theory

Identify System of Interest and Stakeholders

Climate Risk Assessment Methodology





Adopted from Climate Risk Management (CRM) process from GIZ, adaptationcommunity.net









Identify System of Interest and Stakeholders

Climate Risk Assessment Methodology





# Identify

- Identify the stakeholders by their roles and as individual people
  - Clarify their interest, influence, and competing constraints
  - Connect with any potentially overlapping efforts
- Identify sector problem to address and system scale
- Identify time horizons of decisions





# **Understanding Complex Systems**

Different stakeholders have unique Points of leverage







28

# Matching Time Scales with Decision Scales

Stakeholder decisions have unique horizons







NASA ARSET – Building Climate Risk Assessments from Local Vulnerability and Exposure

30

# Develop

- Context-specific analysis is more likely to be successful and is more appealing to stakeholders.
- In general, risk assessment approaches that are successful in one context are rarely able to transfer more than ~70% of approach to the next application given contextual differences.







### Develop

- Agree on the process of sustained
   engagement between scientists and
   stakeholders.
  - Manage communications plan
  - Develop familiarity and (two-way) capacity for collaboration



# **Unique Risks in Different Contexts**

**Different Decision Domains and Hazards in New York City** 





# **Unique Risks in Different Contexts**

Cities have different sizes, structures, climates, and leadership





#### **Projected Temperature Change in the** 2080s, and locations of UCCRN Cities.

Temperature change projection is the mean of 35 global climate models (GCMs) and two representative concentration pathways (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5). Colors represent the mean change in mean annual temperature (2070-2099 average relative to 1971-2000 average). Dots represent ARC3.2 cities. ARC3.2 Cities include Case Study Docking Station cities, UCCRN Regional Hub cities, UCCRN project cities, and cities of ARC3.2 Chapter Authors. Source: ARC3.2 (2018)















## **Evaluate**

- Interactions between hazard, vulnerability, exposure
- Potential need for a sectoral elaboration or impact model
- Determine climate information needs and select climate projection sets
- Interventions that reduce hazard, vulnerability, or exposure while avoiding additional response risk





# Climatic Impact-Drivers as an Approach to Focus Climate Information



A climatic impact-driver (CID) is a climate condition that directly affects elements of society or ecosystems. Climatic impact-drivers and their changes can lead to positive, negative, or inconsequential outcomes (or a mixture).



NASA ARSET - Building Climate Risk Assessments from Local Vulnerability and Exposure

CID Framework Paper – Ruane et al., 2022 IPCC WGI Chapter 12 – Ranasinghe et al., 2021



# **Climatic Impact-Drivers**

**Capturing Diversity of Climate Change Factors** 

Mean surface temperature	H	
Extreme heat	eat a	Q
Cold spell	& Co	D
Frost	bld	
Mean precipitation		
River flood		
Heavy precipitation and pluvial flood	V	
Landslide	Vet	
Aridity	& D	$\mathbb{O}$
Hydrological drought	ry	
Agricultural and ecological drought		
Fire weather		
Mean wind speed		
Severe wind storm	W	G
Tropical cyclone	ind	9
Sand and dust storm		
Snow, glacier and ice sheet		
Permafrost	S	
Lake, river and sea ice	inov	
Heavy snowfall and ice storm	1&1	*
Hail	ce	
Snow avalanche		
Air pollution weather	C	
Atmospheric CO2 at surface	Dthe	8
Radiation at surface	r	)
Relative sea level		
Coastal flood	Co	(
Coastal erosion	bast	
Marine heatwave	al	)
Ocean acidity		
Mean ocean temperature	(	
Marine heatwave	Ope	(
Ocean acidity	n Oc	-
Ocean salinity	cean	
Dissolved oxygen	J	



39

# **Climatic Impact-Drivers**

Identifying Fundamental Biophysical Responses



																Clin	natic	Impa	act-d	river														
			Heat and Cold				Wet and Dry								W	ind	_	Snow and Ice						Coastal			Open Ocean				Other			
Sector	Asset	Mean air temperature	Extreme heat	Cold spell	Frost	Mean precipitation	River flood	Heavy precipitation and pluvial flood	Landslide	Aridity	Hydrological drought	Agricultural and ecological drought	Fire weather	Mean wind speed	Severe wind storm	Tropical cyclone	Sand and dust storm	Snow, glacier and ice sheet	Permafrost	Lake, river and sea ice	Heavy snowfall and ice storm	Hail	Snow avalanche	Relative sea level	Coastal flood	Coastal erosion	Mean ocean temperature	Marine heatwave	Ocean acidity	Ocean salinity	Dissolved oxygen	Air pollution weather	Atmospheric CO2 at surface	Radiation at surface
Food Fibre and	Crop systems																																	
Other Ecosystem Products	Livestock and pasture systems																																	
	Forestry systems																																	
(WGII Chapter 5)	Fisheries and aquaculture systems																																	
						None/low Lo						w/m	derat	e		Hie	High			IPCC AR6 WGI Table 12.2, Ranasinghe et al., 2021														

confidence

Similar table rows for many other sectors





# **Climatic Impact-Drivers**

#### Translating Responses into Context-Specific Hazard Metrics Linked with Vulnerability





FAQ 12.2, Figure 1 | Crop response to maximum temperature thresholds. Crop growth rate responds to daily maximum temperature increases, leading to reduced growth and crop failure as temperatures exceed critical and limiting temperature thresholds, respectively. Note that changes in other environmental factors (such as carbon dioxide and water) may increase the tolerance of plants to increasing temperatures.



### Climatic Impact-Drivers Metrics Help Identify Standout Characteristics of Climate Change

#### Intensity and Magnitude



Climate change can alter the **intensity and magnitude**, **frequency**, **duration**, **timing**, and **spatial extent** of a region's climate hazards.

#### Challenge:

 Determining the contextspecific response thresholds, suitability bounds, and operational ranges for human and natural systems.



# Information Resources for Geohazards

#### **Great Introductions in Other ARSET Trainings**



#### **Remote Sensing Resources:**

- Weather Products (e.g., <u>IMERG</u>, <u>MODIS Land-Surface</u> Temperature)
- Visible Imagery (e.g., <u>LANDSAT</u>, <u>ESA Sentinel</u>)
- Biosphere and Fire Imagery (e.g., MODIS NDVI, FIRMS)
- Water Budget Products (e.g., <u>OpenET</u>, <u>SMAP</u>,
  - Sentinel-1 Synthetic Aperture Radar)

#### Models and Projection Tools:

- Weather and Land Hydrology Retrospective Analyses (e.g., MERRA-2; LIS)
- Climate Projections (e.g., <u>GISS Model-E</u>, <u>NEX GDDP</u>)
- Coastal Hazard Projections (e.g., NASA Sea Level Rise Tool)



### **NASA Geoinformation Resources**

**@i**5

■ MENU



# **Selecting a Climate Projection Set**

**Based on Local Responses and Evaluated Needs** 

- Select based upon mitigation, adaptation, and risk applications contexts.
- Key Characteristics of a Climate Projection Set (see <u>2022 ARSET Training</u>):
  - 1. Global Climate Models
  - 2. Scenarios and Storylines
  - 3. Downscaling (dynamical, statistical)
  - 4. Temporal Resolution
  - 5. Spatial Resolution
  - 6. Post-Processing (e.g., bias-adjustment)
  - 7. 'Applications-Ready' Variables Needed to Evaluate Local Risk
- More complex projection sets are not necessarily better.
  - Resource constraints
  - Illusion of high levels of detail



Speakers: Alex Ruane & Meridel Phillips



### Vulnerability Respiratory Health in New York City







# **Geoinformation Resources**

#### Vulnerability and Exposure

- Socioeconomic Data (e.g., <u>SEDAC</u>)
- Land Use / Land Cover Products (e.g., <u>Cropland Data Layer</u>)
- Topography (e.g., <u>ASTER DEM</u>)
- GIS datasets may be curated by local agencies (e.g., <u>NYC Open</u> <u>Data</u>).



This map shows the storm surge from Hurricane Sandy in October 2012, as estimated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, coupled with SEDAC population density data for 2010.





# **Evaluate**

#### Wet Hazards Pathways to Impact in New York City



Communication intrastructure implications	Energy Intrastructure Implications
Increased average annual precipitation could:	
<ul> <li>place strain on equipment and machinery, increasing the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>improve potential for hydropower</li> </ul>
need for maintenance and reducing lifetimes	<ul> <li>lead to more Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) events, polluting coastal waterways and reducing ability of power plants to discharge water into sewers</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>increase turbidity in reservoirs affecting costs associated with cleaning water for cooling</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>place strain on equipment and machinery, increasing the need for maintenance and reduce lifetimes</li> </ul>
More frequent and intense drought could:	
<ul> <li>place strain on equipment and machinery, increasing need for maintenance and reducing lifetimes</li> </ul>	
More frequent intense rainfall could:	
<ul> <li>lead to more flooding of underground cables, equipment and fuel tanks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>lead to more street, basement and sewer flooding which will overload drainage systems, resulting in increased</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>result in a possible collapse of conduits</li> </ul>	wear and tear on equipment and infrastructure
<ul> <li>decrease accessibility to underground infrastructure for repairs</li> </ul>	

Precipitation, Intense recipitation & Droughts



# **Risk to Infrastructure**





Interactions of drivers within and between each determinant of risk

Source: Simpson et al 2021, A framework for complex climate change risk assessment, One Earth, Volume 4, Issue 4











- Changes in Hazards (magnitude, frequency, duration, timing, spatial extent)
- Trends in Vulnerability and Exposure (scenarios and pathways)
- Risks With and Without Adaptation
- Benefit of Implementing Adaptation Options



#### Example from World Wildlife Fund (WWF) Assessment in Myanmar

Projected Frequency of Occurrence of Historical, Daily, 95th Percentile Temperatures in April for Inland Regions in Myanmar





Horton et al., 2016

21 GCMs, RCP 4.5 and 8.5, NASA NEX-GDDP data (CMIP5) Columbia University with World Wildlife Foundation (WWF) – ADVANCE Project



#### Assess

#### **Example from AgMIP Regional Integrated Assessment in Ghana**



(Left) Maize Yield Impacts in Ghana



(Right) Projected future economic outcomes with and without climate change (high emissions, 2050) and adaptation package featuring heat-tolerant seed varieties. Simulations utilize DSSAT crop model and TOA-MD Household Economic Model

Dilys McCarthy et al.; adapted from:



#### Assess Coastal Flooding Risk in New York City



New York Panel on Climate Change, 2015 D





Flexible Adaptation Pathways to Manage Risk

- Identify affected scales and systems
- Connect risks to decision processes and available resources
- Prioritize interventions and acceptable level of risk
- Implement adaptations with stated design criteria (e.g., cost, protection levels, lifespan)
- Monitor performance against design criteria
- Reassess given non-stationary climate conditions and shifting levels of acceptable risk





- Adaptations need to have a hazard that they are targeting.
- Higher sea walls help protect against coastal flooding, but do not alleviate extreme heat conditions.
  - If intervention is not linked to a hazard, it may not be a climate adaptation.
  - Adapting to one climate hazard does not solve other climate risks.
  - Adaptation packages are important.
- The goal is to create synergies and cobenefits between adaptation, mitigation, and other center priorities.





Identifying Adaptations that Protect Against Flooding in New York

#### **Rainwater Drainage**

- Improve collection (expand sewers and pumps and retain stormwater above ground)
- Enhance natural landscape and drainage
- Plan for controlled flooding

### Storm Surge & Water Treatment

- Raise elevation of key infrastructure
- Use watertight containment of key equipment
- Have reserves of key equipment
- Install local protective barriers
- Allow some inundation in defined areas



August 8, 2007







NYC Department of Environmental Protection, 2008





Flexible Adaptation Pathways to Manage Risk



60



# Summary and Status Check

# **Summary and Status Check**

- Theoretical Approach for Demand-Driven Climate Adaptation Support
  - Identify
  - Develop
  - Evaluate
  - Assess
  - Implement and Monitor
- Result is Contextual Adaptation Support:
  - Motivated by stakeholder interests
  - Built upon local data and expertise
  - Utilizing right-scale climate information
  - Connecting adaptations to specific risks
  - Linked to decision structures and contexts



 Part 2 (in two days) will elaborate on this theoretical approach as practiced by the Climate Adaptation Science Investigators (CASI) Initiative that is informing NASA climate adaptation efforts.





# **Homework and Certificates**

- Homework:
  - One homework assignment
  - Opens on 9/21/2023
  - Access from the <u>training webpage</u>
  - Answers must be submitted via Google Form
  - Due by 10/5/2023
- Certificate of Completion:
  - Attend all live webinars (attendance is recorded automatically)
  - Complete the homework assignment by the deadline
  - You will receive a certificate via email approximately two months after completion of the course.



# **Contact Information**



#### Trainers:

- Alex Ruane
  - <u>alexander.c.ruane@nasa.gov</u>
- Sanketa Kadam
  - <u>ssk2241@columbia.edu</u>

- ARSET Website
- Follow us on Twitter!
  - <u>@NASAARSET</u>
- ARSET YouTube

Visit our Sister Programs:

- <u>DEVELOP</u>
- <u>SERVIR</u>







# Thank You!

