



IIII

NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

Part 5: Introduction to the Micro-Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET)

Carl Malings (Morgan State University) & Judd Welton (NASA Goddard Space Flight Center)

August 22, 2024

#### Part 5 – Trainers



Dr. Carl Malings Assistant Research Scientist Morgan State University, GESTAR-II

### **Dr. Judd Welton** Principal Investigator, MPLNET NASA GSFC







NASA ARSET - NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

### **Part 5 Objectives**

275

By the end of Part 5, participants will be able to:

- Identify the basic characteristics of the MPLNET instruments used by NASA for ground-based active remote sensing of aerosols, clouds, and the planetary boundary layer.
- Recognize how MPLNET sustains global long-term observations, supports air quality and climate applications, and complements satellite observations.
- Access relevant MPLNET data for a given location and application purpose.

### **Review of Prior Knowledge**



Network	Туре	Primary Measurands	Number of Sites	Vertical Coverage
AERONET	Passive	Aerosols (Optical, Microphysical, Radiative)	~600 Active	Total Column
Pandora (PGN)	Passive	Trace Gases (Ozone, NO <sub>2</sub> , Formaldehyde)	168 Official	Total Column, Near-Surface, Lower Tropospheric Profiles
TOLNet	Active	Trace Gases (Ozone Vertical Profiles)	12 (3 Fixed, 9 Transportable)	Tropospheric Profiles (0-15 km)



NASA ARSET – NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

### How to Ask Questions

- Please put your questions in the Questions box and we will address them at the end of the webinar.
- Feel free to enter your questions as we go. We will try to get to all of the questions during the Q&A session after the webinar.
- The remainder of the questions will be answered in the Q&A document, which will be posted to the training website about a week after the training.





# Introduction to the Micro-Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET)

#### Introduction to the Micro-Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET)

The Micro-pulse Lidar Network

**NASA Goddard Space Flight Center** 

Principal Investigator: Judd Welton, NASA GSFC Code 612

**MPLNET Staff:** 

Sebastian Stewart, Aether Embedded GSFC Code 612 Larry Belcher, SSAI GSFC Code 612 Jasper Lewis, UMBC GSFC Code 612 James Campbell, Naval Research Lab Simone Lolli, CNR Italy Lisa Nalborczyk, SSAI GSFC Code 612

All our international network partners

and AERONET

Windpoort, Namibia MPLNET Site



NASA ARSET - NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

### Agenda

#### Introduction and Lidar Overview:

MPLNET Introduction and objectives Introduction to elastic backscatter lidar Interpreting lidar data

#### **MPLNET Project and Data:**

Network sites, organization, history Data Products Products in Development

#### **MPLNET Website and Data Access:**

Tour of website Data browsing Data access / download

#### Case Study Examples:

Smoke, Dust, and PBL studies and applications

#### Conclusion: Access to Global Lidar Data

WMO GAW Aerosol Lidar Observation Network (GALION)





# **MPLNET Introduction and Lidar Overview**

### Introduction: MPLNET was funded in 1999, and began operations in 2000

Develop a long-term, global lidar network to profile aerosol and cloud vertical distribution and properties at key AERONET sites, supporting:

- Domestic and international aerosol and cloud research
- Climate change and air quality studies
- NASA satellite and sub-orbital missions
- Aerosol modeling and forecasting

MPLNET is funded by the NASA Radiation Sciences Program & Earth Observing System with significant contributions from our many site parts

Observing System, with significant contributions from our many site partners







### **MPLNET Introduction: Elastic Backscatter Lidar**

Lidars are like radars, but instead of using microwaves they use laser light (UV, green, or red).

**Radars** profile clouds, rain, severe weather. Microwaves are ideal for thick, larger particle layers like clouds and rain.

**Backscatter Lidars** profile aerosols and thin clouds. Visible light is ideal for smaller particles like aerosols.

Lidars transmit short pulses of laser light into the atmosphere.

A small portion of the light **backscatters** (180°) from particles in the atmosphere and is collected by a telescope, and recorded using a detector and data system.

**MPLNET uses this "elastic backscatter lidar".** There are also advanced lidars that utilize other techniques (Raman, High Spectral Resolution – HSRL) as well as others that measure winds, ozone, water vapor, temperature, etc.



### **MPLNET Introduction: Elastic Backscatter Lidar Provides Optical Proxies**



The light is attenuated as it travels up and back through the atmosphere by scattering and absorption, in total referred to as **extinction**.

The backscatter and extinction parameters in the measured signals are the optical properties of the aerosol and clouds.

The laser light is often **polarized** in one orientation/state.

Scattering from non-spherical particles (dust, ice clouds) depolarizes the emitted light by an amount proportional to the non-sphericity of the particles.

Polarized lidars can measure the **depolarization ratio** which is proportional to the particle's degree of non-sphericity.

The remote sensing data from the lidar provides optical proxies for vertical profiles of aerosol and cloud concentration, composition, and shape.





### **MPLNET Introduction: The Lidar Signal and Depolarization Ratio**

- The backscattered signal is • continually attenuated as it propagates through the atmosphere.
- The transmitted pulse • eventually becomes fully attenuated resulting in loss of information above that height.
- Signals are nosier and attenuate at lower altitudes in daytime (solar background noise).



Attenuated Backscatter Signal (km sr)-1

### **MPLNET Introduction: The Micro Pulse Lidar**

#### 1<sup>st</sup> Commercially Available **Autonomous Eye-Safe** Lidar

Suitable for Network Operations

Developed at NASA in the early 1990s, patented and licensed for commercial use

Sold commercially since 1995 Science and Engineering Services Inc Sigma Space Corporation Leica Geosystems Droplet Measurement Technologies

Elastic Backscatter Lidar with Green Laser (532 nm)

Atmospheric Profiles from 250 m to 30 km 1 minute temporal resolution Polarized ~2008





### **MPLNET Introduction: Primary Job of MPLNET**

- Install and operate MPL sites and collect and archive the data.
- Calibrate instruments and transform raw data to Level 1 signal products.
  These are useable signals.
- Retrieve cloud, aerosol, and PBL properties and provide Level 1.5 and 2 quality assured data products.
- Provide the data products to the public and assist with interpretation of the data and collaborative research.

### **MPLNET Introduction: Raw Data to Atmospheric Parameters**

#### Atmospheric Parameters we want:

- backscatter β:
- extinction  $\sigma$ :

$$P_{\text{NRB}}(r) = C(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r))e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$$

M: molecular P: particle (aerosol, cloud)





### **MPLNET Introduction: Raw Data to Atmospheric Parameters**

#### Atmospheric Parameters we want:

- $\beta$ : backscatter
- $\sigma$ : extinction

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{NRB}}(r) = C\left(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r)\right)e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$$

M: molecular P: particle (aerosol, cloud)



Aerosol optical depth from surface to range r



### **MPLNET Introduction: Raw Data to Atmospheric Parameters**

#### Atmospheric Parameters we want:

- $\beta$ : backscatter
- $\sigma$ : extinction

$$P_{\text{NRB}}(r) = C(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r))e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$$

M: molecular P: particle (aerosol, cloud)

#### MPL Raw Data Parameters:

#### Measured or set by data system

Laser Energy, Solar Background (noise), Range, Diagnostic Temperatures

#### **Require Post-Processing Calibration**

Detector: deadtime and dark count calibrations Laser-Detector Crosstalk: afterpulse calibration Receiver Overlap: calibration for near range signal loss Polarization: calibration required for accurate (low bias) depolarization ratios Lidar Receiver Efficiency Parameter: C (lidar constant) convert to SI units

#### The Appendix provides more in depth discussion of lidar signals, calibration, and processing.

NASA ARSET - NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications





### **MPLNET Introduction: MPL Calibrations**

- Detector and Afterpulse Calibrations are done onsite by our partners every 1-2 months. Sent back to GSFC and calibrations are processed.
- Overlap and Polarization Calibrations are done prior deployment, and updated every 1-2 months.
- Overlap calibrations are performed using a wide field of view receiver (additional data channel). Polarization calibrations are done with both lab and measured data.





### **MPLNET Introduction: Interpreting Lidar Data**

Lidar data are often displayed as 2D representations of the atmosphere.

#### X axis is time and Y axis is altitude.

The data variable is represented by a color map, with values for each time/altitude grid box.

These images can represent the native time and range resolution of the data, be averaged over those scales, or also be regridded to larger or smaller resolution scales.





### **MPLNET Introduction: Interpreting Lidar Data**

MPLNET Level 1 signals are shown at right top, and volume depolarization ratios at right bottom.

Signals attenuate with increasing altitude, so apparent intensity/strength of the signal at higher altitudes does not necessarily indicate high or low concentration in that layer.

Depolarization ratios indicate the sphericity of the particles, not the concentration!

high depol ratios = non-spherical But: volume depol ratios ≠ particle depol ratios





### **MPLNET Introduction: Interpreting Lidar Data**

MPLNET Level 1 aerosol backscatter signals are shown at right top, and aerosol depolarization ratios at right bottom.

Signals attenuate with increasing altitude, so apparent intensity/strength of the signal at higher altitudes does not necessarily indicate high or low concentration in that layer.

Depolarization ratios indicate the sphericity of the particles, not the concentration!

high depol ratios = non-spherical But: volume depol ratios  $\neq$  particle depol ratios







# **MPLNET Project and Data**

### **MPLNET Project Overview: Network & History**

#### MPLNET Sites: 2000 - current

- 85 sites total
- 28 operational, 57 closed, across 28 countries
- 10 more sites in planning
- colocation with AERONET

#### **MPLNET History:**

- Three Version Releases
- Version 1 and 2 (2000 2021)
- Version 3 (V3) Released in Nov 2021
- Version releases include new instrumentation, data products, and/or data processing capabilities
- Version 2 and 3 data are available on our website

#### MPLNET Sites: 2000 – Current



#### MPLNET Website

## **MPLNET** Project Overview: Instrumentation & Operations

#### Instrumentation:

- Micro Pulse Lidar, miniMPL
- Eye safe, green backscatter lidar
- Polarized in early 2000s
- Entire network has polarized MPL since  $\sim 2016$

#### Installation Options:

MPLNET provided enclosure or partner provided lab, trailer, enclosure



Note: the miniMPL also fits in the standard enclosure



#### **Operations:**

- Federated Network (NASA + partners)
- Continuous day/night data
- Data resolutions: 1 minute temporal,
  - 30 or 75 meter vertical
- Raw data transmitted to central MPLNET server hourly
- Automated processing of data, products available near-real-time (hourly)



### **MPLNET Project Overview: Data Product Suite**



#### Modernized Data Product Suite and aligned with AERONET V3

V3 Product	Descriptions		
NRB	Lidar signals; volume depolarization ratios; diagnostics		
CLD	Cloud heights; thin cloud extinction and optical depths; cloud phase		
AER	Aerosol heights; extinction, backscatter, and aerosol depolarization ratio profiles; lidar ratio		
PBL	Surface-Attached Mixed Layer Top and estimated AOD		
Product File Formats			
Formats	MPLNET V3 products are NETCDF 4, CF compliant files. Subsets for each product may be selected to reduce file sizes.		

- Suite of 4 products grouped by theme, each containing variables and diagnostics
- Standardized format, netcdf4, CF compliance, full error propagation from raw data
- Online "Algorithm Theoretical Basis Documents (ATBDs)"
- All L1 and L1.5 products available in NRT (< 1 hour) via automated data transfer and processing system
- QA flags in all products
- NRT QA screen applied at L1.5, final QA at L2
- L3 products in development (created from L2 data)

#### Online Version and Product Descriptions



### **MPLNET Project Overview: Data Product Levels Table**

278

Modernized Data Product Suite and aligned with AERONET V3

Product Levels	Availability	Calibration	QA Screen	Ancillary Input
L1_NRB		intial, ongoing field calibrations	none	GEOS5 Forecast NRT, reprocessed next day with GEOS5 Assimilated, AERONET L15 AOD
L1_CLD	Automated Browse: Near Real Time Download: Next Day *			
L1_PBL				
L1_AER				
L15_NRB	Automated Browse: Near Real Time Download: Next Day *	intial, ongoing field calibrations	L15	GEOS5 Forecast NRT, reprocessed next day with GEOS5 Assimilated, AERONET L15 AOD
L15_CLD				
L15_PBL				
L15_AER	bonnouui nent buy			
L2_NRB		intial, ongoing field calibrations, post calibration, additional‡	L2	GEOS5 Assimilated, AERONET L2 AOD
L2_CLD	After post calibration			
L2_PBL	and AERONET L2			
L2_AER				

\* Near real time data can be provided to site partners and forecasting/modeling centers

+ L2\_AER products subject to availability of L2 AERONET data

‡ Additional L2 calibrations may include corrections for instrument temperature and manual inspection of data

#### Online Version and Product Descriptions



### **MPLNET Project Overview: Variable Confidence Flags**

Modernized Data Product Suite and aligned with AERONET V3

Version 3 Variable Confidence Flags

- New for Version 3, in all products
- Based on maturity of variable algorithm and QA flags

QA Confidence Level	Value	Descriptions
n/a	0	Only set if variable has no QA inspection applied.
High	1	Long history with variable and QA procedures results in high confidence
Moderate	2	Lower confidence in an ancillary data input results in lower overall QA confidence
Low	4	Reserved for variables that are new and require more study to elevate confidence
Fail	8	Data fail QA screen, variable data replaced with NaN

Online Version and Product Descriptions



### MPLNET Project Overview: Version 3 Signal Product (NRB)

- Lidar signal (total, co and cross polarization)
- Volume Depolarization Ratio
- Error variables for all
- QA flags

#### **References:**

Campbell, J.R. et al, 2002. Full-time, Eye-Safe Cloud and Aerosol Lidar Observation at Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program Sites: Instrument and Data Processing, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 19, 431-442.

Welton, E.J., and J.R. Campbell, 2002. Micro-pulse Lidar Signals: Uncertainty Analysis, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 19, 2089-2094.

Berkoff, T.A., et al, 2003. Investigation of overlap correction techniques for the Micro-Pulse Lidar NETwork (MPLNET). IGARSS 2003. 2003 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. Vol 7, 4395-4397, doi: 10.1109/IGARSS.2003.1295527.

Welton, E.J., et al, 2018. Status of the NASA Micro Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET): Overview of the network and future plans, new Version 3 data products, and the polarized MPL. EPJ Web of Conferences, 176, https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201817609003.





### MPLNET Project Overview: Version 3 Signal Product (NRB)

- Lidar signal (total, co and cross polarization)
- Volume Depolarization Ratio
- Frror variables for all
- QA flags

#### **References:**

Campbell, J.R. et al, 2002. Full-time, Eye-Safe Cloud and Aerosol Lidar Observation at Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program Sites: Instrument and Data Processing, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 19, 431-442.

Welton, E.J., and J.R. Campbell, 2002. Micro-pulse Lidar Signals: Uncertainty Analysis, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 19, 2089-2094.

Berkoff, T.A., et al, 2003. Investigation of overlap correction techniques for the Micro-Pulse Lidar NETwork (MPLNET). IGARSS 2003. 2003 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. Vol 7, 4395-4397, doi: 10.1109/IGARSS.2003.1295527.

Welton, E.J., et al, 2018. Status of the NASA Micro Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET): Overview of the network and future plans, new Version 3 data products, and the polarized MPL. EPJ Web of Conferences, 176, https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201817609003.





- Cloud base and top heights
- Cloud Mask
- Hourly & Daily Cloud Fractions (column and low, mid, high level)
- Estimates of Thin Cloud Optical Depth and Extinction
- Cloud Phase (water, ice, mixed, unknown)



Lewis, J., J. Campbell, E. Welton, S. Stewart, and P. <u>Haftings</u>, 2016: Overview of MPLNET Version 3 Cloud Detection. *Journal of Atmospheric* and Oceanic Technology, 33, 2113–2134, doi: 10.1175/JTECH-D-15-0190.1.



Lewis, J.R., J.R. Campbell, S. Lolli, S.A. Stewart, I. Tan, and E.J. Welton, 2020. Determining Cloud Thermodynamic Phase from the Polarized Micro Pulse Lidar. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 6901–6913, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6901-2020.





Figure 6. Frontal cloud system at GSFC on 27 March 2018: NRB (a), volume depolarization ratio (b) and phase mask (c). Altitude bins where the signal uncertainty is twice the signal strength have been suppressed for easier viewing. Note the use of a log scale for the NRB. The phase mask indicates liquid water clouds (grey), mixed-phase clouds (magenta), ice clouds (cyan), and unknown phase (pink). The GEOS-5 temperature is shown by the contour lines (in 10 °C intervals). The -37 °C isotherm is indicated by the dashed contour line.

Figure 9. Supercooled liquid fraction (SLF) averaged over GSFC (2015–2019) from MPLNET (solid line) and CALIOP (black  $\times$ ) observations. The inset shows the horizontal distribution of CALIOP SLFs at the  $-20^{\circ}$ C isotherm surrounding GSFC (indicated by the red  $\times$ ). The CALIOP SLF profile is kalculated using the 2.5° latitude  $\times$ 5° longitude grid box containing GSFC. The shaded area indicates the standard error for MPLNET observations. CALIOP standard errors are less than 0.7 at all isotherms.



Lewis, J.R., J.R. Campbell, S. Lolli, S.A. Stewart, I. Tan, and E.J. Welton, 2020. Determining Cloud Thermodynamic Phase from the Polarized Micro Pulse Lidar. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 6901–6913, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6901-2020.

Figure 6. Frontal cloud system at GSFC on 27 March 2018: NRB (a), volume depolarization ratio (b) and phase mask (c). Altitude bins where the signal uncertainty is twice the signal strength have been suppressed for easier viewing. Note the use of a log scale for the NRB. The phase mask indicates liquid water clouds (grey), mixed-phase clouds (magenta), ice clouds (cyan), and unknown phase (pink). The GEOS-5 temperature is shown by the contour lines (in  $10^{\circ}$ C intervals). The  $-37^{\circ}$ C isotherm is indicated by the dashed contour line.



Figure 9. Supercooled liquid fraction (SLF) averaged over GSFC (2015–2019) from MPLNET (solid line) and CALIOP (black  $\times$ ) observations. The inset shows the horizontal distribution of CALIOP SLFs at the  $-20^{\circ}$ C isotherm surrounding GSFC (indicated by the red  $\times$ ). The CALIOP SLF profile is kalculated using the 2.5° latitude  $\times$  5° longitude grid box containing GSFC. The shaded area indicates the standard error for MPLNET observations. CALIOP standard errors are less than 0.7 at all isotherms.

There have been many publications examining cirrus clouds using MPLNET and CALIPSO combined observations

Lewis, J.R., J.R. Campbell, S. Lolli, S.A. Stewart, I. Tan, and E.J. Welton, 2020. Determining Cloud Thermodynamic Phase from the Polarized Micro Pulse Lidar. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 6901–6913, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6901-2020.

 $\begin{array}{c} -40 \\ -40 \\ -30 \\$ 

There have been many publications examining cirrus clouds using MPLNET and CALIPSO combined observations

Figure 9. Supercooled liquid fraction (SLF) averaged over GSFC (2015–2019) from MPLNET (solid line) and CALIOP (black  $\times$ ) observations. The inset shows the horizontal distribution of CALIOP SLFs at the  $-20^{\circ}$ C isotherm surrounding GSFC (indicated by the red  $\times$ ). The CALIOP SLF profile is kalculated using the 2.5° latitude  $\times$  5° longitude grid box containing GSFC. The shaded area indicates the standard error for MPLNET observations. CALIOP standard errors are less than 0.7 at all isotherms.

#### MPLNET V3 Cloud data were used to validate the new thin cirrus cloud screen used in AERONET V3

NASA ARSET - NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications





### MPLNET Project Overview: Aerosol Data Product (AER)

- Aerosol Top Height (top of highest aerosol layer)
- Backscatter and Extinction Profiles
- Lidar Efficiency Parameter C
- Lidar Ratio (extinction to backscatter ratio)
- Retrievals flagged by AOD constraint (day, night, interpolated)



- Same retrieval algorithms traced back to V1, improved implementations
- Addition of AERONET Lunar AOD provides first night-time constraints
  - Higher quality aerosol retrievals and diurnal calibrations
- New variable for polarized data: aerosol depolarization ratio



### MPLNET Project Overview: Aerosol Data Product (AER)

Signal data are first cloud screened at 1 minute temporal resolution using CLD product Running 20 minute signal average is applied, still gridded to 1 minute (but now 20 min resolution) Cloud screen statistics are kept and used in QA flags





NASA ARSET – NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications
Signal data are first cloud screened at 1 minute temporal resolution using CLD product Running 20 minute signal average is applied, still gridded to 1 minute (but now 20 min resolution) Cloud screen statistics are kept and used in QA flags



### Aerosol Top Height algorithm is applied

Aerosol Top Height References:

Welton, E.J., et al, 2002. Measurements of aerosol vertical profiles and optical properties during INDOEX 1999 using micro-pulse lidars, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8019, doi:10.1029/2000JD000038.

Welton, EJ., et al, 2007. New Aerosol and Cloud Products from the NASA Micro Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET). AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts.



Retrieval of aerosol properties is attempted when AERONET AOD is available. AOD is used as an independent constraint.

 $P_{\text{NRB}}(r) = C(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r))e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$ 

We have two unknowns ( $\beta$  and  $\sigma$ ) and a transcendental equation Solutions provided by Fernald (1972, 1984) and Klett (1981).

Introduce the lidar ratio (below), set an a priori value (typically 50 sr) and solve for  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$ .

 $S = \frac{\sigma}{\beta} = \frac{4\pi}{\omega_o P_\pi}$   $\omega_0$  is single scatter albedo (absorption)  $P_\pi$  is the phase function at 180° (size, shape)



Retrieval of aerosol properties is attempted when AERONET AOD is available. AOD is used as an independent constraint.

 $P_{\text{NRB}}(r) = C(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r))e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$ 

We have two unknowns ( $\beta$  and  $\sigma$ ) and a transcendental equation Solutions provided by Fernald (1972, 1984) and Klett (1981).

Introduce the lidar ratio (below), set an a priori value (typically 50 sr) and solve for  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$ .

 $S = \frac{\sigma}{\beta} = \frac{4\pi}{\omega_o P_{\pi}}$   $\omega_0$  is single scatter albedo (absorption)  $P_{\pi}$  is the phase function at 180° (size, shape)

S

Constrain retrieval by forcing extinction to integrate to AERONET AOD (Marenco et al 1997, Welton et al 2000).

Set S = 100 sr. Solve  $\beta$ . Calculate new value of S and iterate until convergence.

$$T_{new} = \frac{\int_0^{top} \sigma dr}{\int_0^{top} \beta dr} = \frac{AOD}{\int_0^{top} \beta dr}$$

This method does not require a priori specification of the lidar ratio, it calculates a column average value in the solution.



Sun Photometer ADD AOD: 0.075 +- 0.010 Angstrom Exponent: 1.480 Lidar Ratio: 33.54 +- 5.54 er MPL Calibration Value: 590.47 +- 8.40 Aerosol Top: 7.545 km Calibration Zone: 8.045 - 9.045 km

Sunphoto Lidar Ratio: 36.77 sr

#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET





#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



Aerosol retrievals are performed for all AERONET AOD observations



#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



Aerosol retrievals are performed for all AERONET AOD observations

We can interpolate between AERONET observations using the lidar efficiency parameter C

#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



Aerosol retrievals are performed for all AERONET AOD observations

We can interpolate between AERONET observations using the lidar efficiency parameter C

C is determined using AERONET AOD, and helps track instrument health and produce continuous aerosol retrievals.

At altitude above all aerosol (and no clouds), the lidar signal is:

$$P_{NRB}(r) = C\beta_M(r)e^{-2MOD(0,r)}e^{-2AOD}$$

We solve for C:

$$C = \frac{P_{NRB}(r)}{\beta_M(r)} e^{2MOD(0,r)} e^{2AOD}$$



#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



Aerosol retrievals are performed for all AERONET AOD observations

We can interpolate between AERONET observations using the lidar efficiency parameter C

C is determined using AERONET AOD, and helps track instrument health and produce continuous aerosol retrievals.

At altitude above all aerosol (and no clouds), the lidar signal is:

$$P_{NRB}(r) = C\beta_M(r)e^{-2MOD(0,r)}e^{-2AOD}$$

We solve for C:

$$C = \frac{P_{NRB}(r)}{\beta_M(r)} e^{2MOD(0,r)} e^{2AOD}$$



Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



The retrieved C values are interpolated to the 1 minute temporal grid



Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



The retrieved C values are interpolated to the 1 minute temporal grid



### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



The retrieved C values are interpolated to the 1 minute temporal grid

These are used to calculate column AOD at times without AERONET observations

$$AOD = \frac{1}{2} ln \left[ \frac{C\beta_M(r)}{P_{NRB}(r)} \right] - MOD(0, r)$$

### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



The retrieved C values are interpolated to the 1 minute temporal grid

These are used to calculate column AOD at times without AERONET observations

$$AOD = \frac{1}{2} ln \left[ \frac{C\beta_M(r)}{P_{NRB}(r)} \right] - MOD(0, r)$$

#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



The retrieved C values are interpolated to the 1 minute temporal grid

These are used to calculate column AOD at times without AERONET observations

 $AOD = \frac{1}{2} ln \left[ \frac{C\beta_M(r)}{P_{NRB}(r)} \right] - MOD(0, r)$ 

The interpolated AOD are used to retrieve aerosol properties

The final aerosol product contains both constrained and interpolated data, the interpolated will be lower quality.

There are a number of other QA flags in the product, including indication of which AOD was used: day, night, or interpolated.





#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



#### Aerosol Retrieval References:

Welton, E.J., et al, 2002. Measurements of aerosol vertical profiles and optical properties during INDOEX 1999 using micro-pulse lidars, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8019, doi:10.1029/2000JD000038.

Welton, E.J. et al, 2000. Ground-based Lidar Measurements of Aerosols During ACE-2: Instrument Description, Results, and Comparisons with other Ground-based and Airborne Measurements, Tellus B, 52, 635-650.

Welton, E.J., et al, 2018. Status of the NASA Micro Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET): Overview of the network and future plans, new Version 3 data products, and the polarized MPL. EPJ Web of Conferences, 176, https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201817609003.





#### Aerosol properties are retrieved using AERONET



#### Aerosol Retrieval References:

Welton, E.J., et al, 2002. Measurements of aerosol vertical profiles and optical properties during INDOEX 1999 using micro-pulse lidars, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8019, doi:10.1029/2000JD000038.

Welton, E.J. et al, 2000. Ground-based Lidar Measurements of Aerosols During ACE-2: Instrument Description, Results, and Comparisons with other Ground-based and Airborne Measurements, Tellus B, 52, 635-650.

Welton, E.J., et al, 2018. Status of the NASA Micro Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET): Overview of the network and future plans, new Version 3 data products, and the polarized MPL. EPJ Web of Conferences, 176, https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201817609003.

Caveat: These retrievals assume the lidar ratio is constant. This can bias the results if the lidar ratio changes with altitude. This is a limitation of backscatter lidar and solved by use of raman or HSRL techniques.



### MPLNET Project Overview: PBL Data Product (PBL)

- Mixed Layer Height
- Mixed Layer Aerosol Optical Depth
  - AOD only in mixed layer, not column

Lewis, J.R., E.J. Welton, A.M. Molod, and E. Joseph, 2013: Improved boundary layer depth retrievals from MPLNET, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 9870-9879, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50570.

Comparisons of the older V2 mixed layer heights (**black**) with the new V3 results (**red**) below. New algorithm vastly improves detection of diurnal <u>cvcle</u>, and reduces false positive results. Right panel shows comparison of V3 mixed layer heights with those from radiosonde measurements.



**Figure 4.** Comparisons of (left) monthly means of the daily maximum PBL height, (middle) annual diurnal cycles, and (right) daily mean probability distributions at GSFC for the 2010 operational PBL retrieval (black triangles) and improved PBL algorithm (red squares). The vertical orange lines in the diurnal cycle indicate the mean times for sunrise (SR) and sunset (SS).



**Figure 8.** Correlation of radiosonde-derived PBL depths at Beltsville and lidar-derived PBL depths from MPLNET. The dashed line is the unity line and the solid line is the best fit line.



# MPLNET Project Overview: PBL Data Product (PBL)

- The PBL product in Version 2 was only beta tested, not released
- V3 Vast improvement in mixed layer height, especially diurnal performance

Lewis, J.R., E.J. Welton, A.M. Molod, and E. Joseph, 2013: Improved boundary layer depth retrievals from MPLNET, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 9870-9879, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50570.



### MPLNET Project Overview: PBL Data Product (PBL)

- The PBL product in Version 2 was only beta tested, not released
- V3 Vast improvement in mixed layer height, especially diurnal performance

Lewis, J.R., E.J. Welton, A.M. Molod, and E. Joseph, 2013: Improved boundary layer depth retrievals from MPLNET, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 9870-9879, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50570.

#### 10 Year Climatology GSFC (2010 – 2020)





### **MPLNET Project Overview: Product Examples**



#### A Summary of New Products in Development is in the Appendix



# **MPLNET Website and Data Access**

### **MPLNET Website and Data Access**

#### MPLNET Website Tour

### Topics:

- Project Information
- Find Network Sites
- Browse Data
- Download Data
- Download, Plot, and Metadata APIs







# **MPLNET Case Study Examples**

### **Case Study Examples:**

MPLNET data have contributed to many journal publications, presentations, reports, and thesis/dissertations

Some examples will be shown, a complete list of publications is available on the <u>MPLNET Website</u>

MPLNET has had a global impact on aerosol, cloud, and PBL related research

We have also contributed to unforeseen research projects including the IceCube Neutrino Study in Antarctica

### Web of Science Citation Map 2022





MPLNET Contributions to Wildfire Smoke Research. Also recognition of a growing problem in the Northern Hemisphere....

Northern Hemisphere is becoming an increasingly complex aerosol environment from surface to stratosphere for most of the year!

Modeled Emissions Alberta Fire June 2019



Salinas, S.V., et al, 2012. Physical and optical characteristics of the October 2010 haze event over Singapore: A photometric and lidar analysis. Atmos. Res., doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.05.021.

Chew, B.N., et al, 2013. Aerosol particle vertical distributions and optical properties over Singapore, Atmos. Environ., 79, 599-613, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.026.

South East Asian Smoke

Wang, S.H., et al, 2015. Vertical Distribution and Columnar Optical Properties of Springtime Biomass-Burning Aerosols Over Northern Indochina During 2014 7-SEAS Campaign, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 15, 2037-2050, doi: 10.4209/aaqr.2015.05.0310.

Campbell, J.R., et al, 2016. Applying Advanced Ground-Based Remote Sensing in the Southeast Asian Maritime Continent to Characterize Regional Proficiencies in Smoke Transport Modeling. J. App. <u>Meteorol. Clim.</u>, 55, 3-22, DOI:10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0083.1.

Lee, J, et al, 2016. Evaluating the height of biomass burning smoke aerosols retrieved from synergistic use of multiple satellite sensors over Southeast Asia. Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 16, 2831–2842, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2015.08.0506.

------ North American and European Smoke -----

Colarco, P.R., et al, 2004. Transport of smoke from Canadian forest fires to the surface near Washington, D.C.: Injection height, entrainment, and optical properties, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D06203, doi:10.1029/2003JD004248.

Lund Myhre, C., et al, 2007. Regional aerosol optical properties and radiative impact of the extreme smoke event in the European Arctic in spring 2006, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5899-5915.

Miller, D.J., et al, 2011. Assessing boreal forest fire smoke aerosol impacts on U.S. air quality: a case study using multiple datasets, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D22209, doi:10.1029/2011JD016170.

Loría-Salazar, S.M., et al, 2021. Evaluation of Novel NASA MODIS and VIIRS Aerosol Products and Assessment of Smoke Height Boundary Layer Ratio During Extreme Smoke Events in the Western U.S., J. <u>Geophys</u>. Res. Atmos., 126, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD034180.

Eck T. F., et al, A. R. Menendez, 2023. The extreme forest fires in California/Oregon in 2020: Aerosol optical and physical properties and comparisons of aged versus fresh smoke, Atmos. Environ., 305, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119798.

Volcanic Plumes

Remote Sensing, 14, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14102470

Sicard, M., et al, 2022. Volcanic Eruption of Cumbre Vieja, La Palma, Spain: A First Insight to the Particulate Matter Injected in the Troposphere.

Sellitto, P., et al, 2023. Volcanic emissions, plume dispersion, and downwind radiative impacts following Mount Etna series of eruptions of February 21–26, 2021. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 128, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035974

----- Northern Hemisphere Smoke AND Volcanic Plumes -----

Osborne, M., et al, 2022. The 2019 <u>Raikoke</u> volcanic eruption part 2: Particulate phase dispersion and concurrent wildfire smoke emissions. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 2975–2997, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-2975-2022</u>.

Shang, X., et al, 2024. Monitoring biomass burning aerosol transport using CALIOP observations and reanalysis models: a Canadian wildfire event in 2019. Atmos. Chem. Physics., Accepted.



The "wall of smoke" example from MPLNET measurements at GSFC on June 6, 2023





275







The "wall of smoke" example from MPLNET measurements at GSFC on June 6, 2023



Even one fire can produce a complicated environment based on its life time and cycle, producing different smoke properties and varied layer heights. Add in multiple fires, with different fuel types, and complication increases.

Using remotely sensed data, especially passive observations, must be done carefully to avoid misinterpretations in such conditions. These conditions are becoming prevalent for much of the year.

The "wall of smoke" example from MPLNET measurements at GSFC on June 6, 2023



Even one fire can produce a complicated environment based on its life time and cycle, producing different smoke properties and varied layer heights. Add in multiple fires, with different fuel types, and complication increases.

Using remotely sensed data, especially passive observations, must be done carefully to avoid misinterpretations in such conditions. These conditions are becoming prevalent for much of the year.

# **Case Study Examples: Smoke Injection Heights**

### Using Lidar to determine smoke injection heights over remote fire sources



Figure 2. Vertical profile of aerosol backscatter observed at GSFC by MPLNET. The white line is the MATCH PBL height. The triangles indicate the initial altitude used in the back trajectories shown in Figure 3. Note that MPLNET did not collect data after about 12:00 UTC on 8 July 2002 until late on 9 July 2002.



Canadian smoke from fires burning in Quebec during 2002 caused widespread Code Red events and air traffic shutdowns in the Northeast US.

The ability of a smoke plume to transport long distances is determined by its injection height relative to the local PBL. Modeled emission functions need inputs to better constrain injection height.

Wet deposition (cloud scavenging), dry deposition (fallout), and entrainment by the PBL all factor into the long range impact of remote aerosol sources. Lidars observe plume height and cloud interaction to provide input to models.

Here, observed plume heights in Maryland from MPLNET were used to determine injection height over the remote fire sources. This can be related back to the fire energy and other source parameters to improve emission modeling.



Colarco, P.R., M.R. Schoeberl, B.G. Doddridge, L.T. Marufu, O. Torres, and E.J. Welton, Transport of smoke from Canadian forest fires to the surface near Washington, D.C.: Injection height, entrainment, and optical properties, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D06203, doi:10.1029/2003JD004248, 2004.



### Case Study Examples: CALIPSO Mission Support – algorithm development

MPLNET is supporting development of CALIPSO Version 5 Aerosol Product (the final release) MPLNET is providing lidar ratios from under-sampled regions



Models, In situ, and Remote sensing of Aerosols

#### MIRA-WG Projects

- Particulate Matter from Lidars in Space (PMLS)
- Maps of Aerosol lidar ratios for CALIPSO (MAC)
- Tables of Aerosol Optics
- Harmonization of aerosol Assimilation Models and Retrievals (HAMR)

#### MIRA Website

Marine Environment CALIPSO Constrained Lidar Ratio Retrievals







	Desian	Cite	Lidar Ratio (sr)		Assess Trees	Time Span	
	Region	Site	AE < 1 AE > 1		Aerosol Types		
		INDOEX-99 Cruise (from India)		43 +- 12	Marine, Pollution	1 month	
	India, Indian Ocean	INDOEX-99 Cruise (from Middle East)	55 +- 14		Marine, Dust	1 monun	
		Kanpur, India	39 +- 10	55 +- 14	Pollution, Dust	2 years	
		Skukua, South Africa	37 +- 3.4	65 +- 10	Pollution, Smoke, Marine	1 month	
	Southern Africa	Mongu, Zambia		71 +- 6	Pollution, Smoke	1 month	
		Windpoort, Namibia	35 +- 13	46 +- 7.5 Dust, Pollution		1 year	
	East Asia	ACE-Asia Cruise (Sea of Japan, East China Sea)	55 +- 11	64 +- 9	Pollution, Dust, Marine	1 month	
		Singapore		52 +- 12	Pollution, Smoke, Marine	2 years	
	South East Asia	EPA-NCU (Northern Taiwan, from Pacific Ocean)	30 +- 12		Marine, Pollution	7 years	
		EPA-NCU (Northern Taiwan, from China)	40 +- 16		Dust, Marine, Pollution		
		EPA-NCU (Northern Taiwan, from SE Asia)	53 +- 21		Smoke, Marine, Pollution		
		Aerosols-99 Cruise (NH Atlantic)	32 +- 6		Marine	1 month	
	Open Ocean	Aerosols-99 Cruise (SH Atlantic)	36 +- 16		Marine	1 month	
		INDOEX-99 Cruise (Indian Ocean Tropics)	33 +- 6		Marine	2 months	



### Case Study Examples: ESA EarthCARE Validation and Joint Research

The ESA EarthCARE mission includes ATLID, the next satellite lidar (in commissioning phase now). Data will be available soon. ATLID is a polarized High Spectral Resolution Lidar (HSRL) operating at 355 nm. MPLNET is a member of the ESA EarthCARE validation team.

Task 1: Validate aerosol, cloud, and planetary boundary layer heights using L3 MPLNET products

Task 2: Compare drizzle occurrence and properties

Task 3: Evaluate EarthCARE-based cirrus datasets for TOA CRE (topof-atmosphere cirrus cloud radiative effect)



#### Aerosol Profiles

Examples of EarthCARE validation using CALIPSO data and simulated EarthCARE data



### <u>Planetary Boundary Layer Height</u> GSFC (2016 – 2021)



#### Other Cases Studies are Available in the Appendix





# **Conclusion: Access to Global Lidar Data**

### **Conclusion: Access to Global Lidar Data from GALION**



GALION The GAW Aerosol Lidar Observation Network



GALION is a network of lidar networks organized through the WMO Global Atmospheric Watch (GAW) program.

Judd Welton (NASA, USA) and Lucia Mona (CNR, Italy) are co-chairs Guidance and direction provided by steering committee (network heads) and GAW Aerosol SAG



active GALION members, signed agreements with WMO as contributing networks

Name	Affiliation	Role		
Eduardo Landulfo	IPEN Center for Laser and Applications, Brazil	LALINET Head		
Thierry Leblanc	NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, USA	NDACC Lidar Head		
Lucia Mona	CNR Istituto di Metodologie per l'Analisi Ambientale, Italy	GALION Co-Chair, EARLINET Head		
Atsushi Shimizu	National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan	AD-Net Head		
Ellsworth J. Welton	NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, USA	GALION Co-Chair, MPLNET Head		

**Objectives:** provide long term, coordinated lidar network profiling of aerosol properties to support the following

- 1. climate research and assessment
- 2. air quality assessment and forecasting
- 3. Plume monitoring for special events
- 4. Satellite cal/val and synergistic research
  - Cover satellite lidar gaps

#### **Motivations:**

GALION Website

Global coverage is only achievable by combining efforts of individual lidar networks. We have to work together.

Provide easier and more informative access to our data across all networks, enable coordinated application support

Programmatic planning with other networks (WMO OSCAR, etc)

#### Information and links about each GALION network are on the website

# **Global projects: GALION**

### New Data Center and Website

### Capabilities

- GALION information and search and discovery
- Automated handshakes with WMO OSCAR database Metadata APIs
- Goal: one source to get aggregated lidar network information and data access

NOTE: we are still updating metadata from networks. The website is functional but some portions will not be public until the metadata are complete. Mainly download of search results.



The <u>World Meteorological Organization (WMO)</u> <u>Global Atmospheric Watch (GAW)</u> Aerosol Lidar Observation Network (GALION) was formed in 2008. GALION is a lidar network of networks organized through the GAW program to coordinate network activities and provide comprehensive profiling of atmospheric aerosols, clouds, gases, and thermodynamic structure. GALION chair and steering committee leadership is run by the heads of the individual lidar networks. Each GALION network is an official <u>GAW contributing network</u> (signed letter of agreement with WMO).



GAW Report No. 178: GALION Implementation Plan (2008)

# **Global projects: GALION**

### New Data Center and Website

### Capabilities

- GALION information and search and discovery
- Automated handshakes with WMO OSCAR database Metadata APIs
- Goal: one source to get aggregated lidar network information and data access

NOTE: we are still updating metadata from networks. The website is functional but some portions will not be public until the metadata are complete. Mainly download of search results.

GALION The GAW Aerosol Lidar Observation Network Applications **GALION Networks** Other Networks About Login Home Sites Search Tool in development: some GALION networks metadata only from WMO OSCAR while we build the system, all "Other" networks metadata from WMO OSCAR AD-Net AERONET EARLINE Status: Select Territory: Select LALINET MPLNET Region: Select WIGOS Affiliation: Select NDACC Variable: Select Variable Availability: Select ~ Filter: or V or Lidar: Select Laver: Select Search Satellite Map +

#		Sites		Laurthan	WINO Site Name	Other Networks			
	*	Network	Site Name	Status	Location	WMO Site Name	Network	Site Name	Status
	1	AD-Net	<u>Chiba</u>	operational	Lat: <u>35.630001</u> Lon: <u>140.10001</u> Elevation: 19.0 m	Chiba WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-CBU			
	2	AD-Net	Fukue	operational	Lat: <u>32.75</u> Lon: <u>128.67999</u> Elevation: 47.0 m	Fukue WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-FKE			

# **Global projects: GALION**

### New Data Center and Website

### Capabilities

- GALION information and search and discovery
- Automated handshakes with WMO OSCAR database Metadata APIs
- Goal: one source to get aggregated lidar network information and data access

NOTE: we are still updating metadata from networks. The website is functional but some portions will not be public until the metadata are complete. Mainly download of search results.

All search features are also available via API



	Sites			Lasatian		Other Networks		
*	Network	Site Name	Status	Location	WMO Site Name	Network	Site Name	Status
1	AD-Net	<u>Chiba</u>	operational	Lat: <u>35.630001</u> Lon: <u>140.10001</u> Elevation: 19.0 m	Chiba WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-CBU			
2	AD-Net	Fukue	operational	Lat: <u>32.75</u> Lon: <u>128.67999</u> Elevation: 47.0 m	Fukue WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-FKE			
### New Data Center and Website

### Tools in development (functional but not public\*)

- Metadata Downloads
  - GALION JSON format shown previously
  - Site info, contacts, links to browse images and data files
- Trajectory Analysis: extract sites along track
  - HYSPLIT
  - KML or csv (lat,lon)
  - Volcanic Ash Advisories



#	Sites			Leastion	WINO Site Name	Other Networks		
	Network	Site Name	Status	Location	WMO Site Name	Network	Site Name	Status
1	AD-Net	Chiba	operational	Lat: <u>35.630001</u> Lon: <u>140.10001</u> Elevation: 19.0 m	Chiba WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-CBU			
2	AD-Net	Fukue	operational	Lat: <u>32.75</u> Lon: <u>128.67999</u> Elevation: 47.0 m	Fukue WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-FKE			

### New Data Center and Website

### Tools in development (functional but not public\*)

- Metadata Downloads
  - GALION JSON format shown previously
  - Site info, contacts, links to browse images and data files
- Trajectory Analysis: extract sites along track
  - HYSPLIT
  - KML or csv (lat,lon)
  - Volcanic Ash Advisories

# HYSPLIT Example: What was that aerosol layer over GSFC on May 28, 2024



Late in season for Asian Dust Transport to US

Home	Sites	Applications	GALION Networks	Other Networks	About	Login	
			S	earch Tool:			
	in developr	ment: some GALION netwo	rks metadata only from WMO OS	CAR while we build the system, a	ll "Other" networks m	etadata from WMO OSCAF	<b>?</b> .
CALIO		Other Networke	Filtere				
AD-Ne	et	AERONET	riters.		-		
LALIN	IET		Status: Select		Territory: Select		
NDAC	C		Region: Select	~	WIGOS Affiliation:	Select 🗸	
	_		Variable: Select	~	Variable Availability:	Select V	
Netwo	ork: or ✔ I	Filter: or 🗸	Liday Colort		Laura Salaat		
See	а)			<b>`</b>	Layer: Select		
	_						
			Leand	r nd Sweden Norway	Russia	433	
	North Pacific Ocean	Canada	North Atlantic Ocean	Sweden Norwey Professor Pr	Russie Gazakhstan Mona Nghanistan Pakistan		
	North Pacific Ocean	Canada	North Atlantic Ocean	Norwy Norwy Hon Algeria Liby Mali Norr Chal	Russe Gaskhisten Afghansisten Paleisten India		
	North Pacific Ocean	Canada Canada Canada Canada Canada Canada Canada Canada Canada Canada	North Atlantic Ocean	Agers Laya Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara C	Russia cazakhsten Mono Palestan India Jand		
	North Pacific Ocean	Cristic Cristi	North Atlantic Ocean Perg Draf	Seeden Norrey 77 Urane Algens Lay Enspis Negen Case Negen Case Ne	Russie Gazakhsten Mohanistan India India	So n h Moneta Papa New Cutres	
	North Pacific Ocean	Canals de States Verico	North Atlantic Ocean Pero Brail Hits	Ageria Ag	Russie Carakhtstin Afghanisten India India	So n Papua New Cuines	
	North Pacific Ocean	Condo Grando Version	North Atlantic Ocean Peru Brast Date Stries Colis	Ageis Loga Sadar Naray Turiye Ageis Loga Sadar Nageis Loga Sadar	Russie Aaskinten Majausisten Indian Ocean	Soonna Soonna Maantaa Aastaa	
	North Pacific Ocean	Conside Conside South Pacific Ocean	North Atlantic Ocean Peru Brail Chir Argentia	Algeni Norey Le n'n Le n'n	Russie Arakister Paletan India Indian	So on n So on n Procession Currents Australis	
	North Pacific Ocean	Consis Consis United States Version	North Atlantic Ocean Pero Drail Pero Drail Argentine Argentine	Seedan Norwy Norwy Norwy Norwy Urane Data Casal Norw Norw Casal Norw Casal Norw Casal Norw Casal Norw Casal No	Russie Arakhsten Mohenisten India Indian	so o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	+
	North Pacific Ocean	South Decific Ocean	North Atlantic Ocean Peru Balt Hits Collis Argentins	Aperie Law Barton Control Andrew Aperie Law Barton Control Andrew Aperie Law Barton Control Andrew Aperie Law Barton Control Andrew Barton Control Andrew	Russie Gaskinsten India India Undian	Soonera Soonera Australa	+
	North Pacific Ocean	Conside Grande Mentro South Pacific Ocean	Verazula Comba Argenina Sou Argenina	Agent Bester Bes	Russie Graakhster Palestan Indian	ndinasia Australia Australia	**************************************
	North Pacific Ocean	Conde Gene States Vere States	Verazuer Combia Pero Drati Argentina Argentina	Agent Unrans Turing Agent Unrans Turing Agent Unrans Turing Agent Unrans Turing	Russie Arakhistan Indian Indian Ocean	Papua New Current Vederati Papua New Current Vederati Vederati Papua New Current Vederati Ved	A construction of the second s
	North Pacific Ocean	South Pacific Ocean	Ado-Net	Ageir Ag	Russie Aaskinsten Mighansisten Indian Ocean NDACC	vboard shortcuts   Map data @2023	er er er er er er er er er er er er er e
	North Pacific Ocean	South Pacific Ocean	AD-Net Location	Ageire Ageire	Arakinten Mono Mignunsisten Indian Ocean NAACC	vidonesia Cuintes Automia Peculites Automia Map data @2023	Restance of the second

Lon: 140.1000

Lat: 32.75 Lon: 128.67999

Elevation: 47.0 m

operational

AD-Net

Fukue

WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-CBL

WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-FKE

Fukue

### New Data Center and Website

### Tools in development (functional but not public\*)

- Metadata Downloads
  - GALION JSON format shown previously
  - Site info, contacts, links to browse images and data files
- Trajectory Analysis: extract sites along track
  - HYSPLIT
  - KML or csv (lat,lon)
  - Volcanic Ash Advisories

### HYSPLIT Example: What was that aerosol layer over GSFC on May 28, 2024



Late in season for Asian Dust Transport to US



WIGOS ID: 0-20008-0-SSH



HYSPLIT Example: What was that aerosol layer over GSFC on May 28, 2024







### HYSPLIT Example: What was that aerosol layer over GSFC on May 28, 2024







NASA ARSET – NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

HYSPLIT Example: What was that aerosol layer over GSFC on May 28, 2024







HYSPLIT Example: What was that aerosol layer over GSFC on May 28, 2024





Based on data from multiple GALION networks and NASA SatelliteData, the dust layer originated over Mongolia ~ May 23, 2024.NASA ARSET - NASA AtmospheLikely mixed with smoke from fires burning in Siberia



### Conclusion





NASA ARSET – NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications



NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications Summary

### **Training Summary**



Network	Туре	Primary Measurands	Number of Sites	Vertical Coverage
AERONET	Passive	Aerosols (Optical, Microphysical, Radiative)	~600 Active	Total Column
Pandora (PGN)	Passive	Trace Gases (Ozone, NO <sub>2</sub> , Formaldehyde)	168 Official	Total Column, Near-Surface, Lower Tropospheric Profiles
TOLNet	Active	Trace Gases (Ozone Vertical Profiles)	12 (3 Fixed, 9 Transportable)	Tropospheric Profiles (0-15 km)
MPLNET	Active	Aerosols and Clouds (Lidar Signal, Cloud, Aerosol, PBL)	28 Active (85 total)	Atmospheric Profiles (0.25-30 km)



NASA ARSET – NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

## **Homework and Certificates**

- Homework:
  - One homework assignment
  - Opens on 22/08/2024 (today)
  - Access from the training webpage
  - Answers must be submitted via Google Forms
  - Due by 05/09/2024
- Certificate of Completion:
  - Attend all five live webinars (attendance is recorded automatically)
  - Complete the homework assignment by the deadline
  - You will receive a certificate via email approximately two months after completion of the course.



### **Contact Information**

278

Trainers:

- Judd Welton
  - <u>ellsworth.j.welton@nasa.gov</u>
- Carl Malings
  - <u>carl.a.malings@nasa.gov</u>

- ARSET Website
- Follow us on Twitter!
  - <u>@NASAARSET</u>
- ARSET YouTube

Visit our Sister Programs:

- DEVELOP
- SERVIR



### Resources

- <u>AERONET Website</u>
  - <u>AERONET stations map</u>
  - <u>AERONET Data Synergy Tool</u>
- Pandora Website
  - Pandonia Global Network
  - Pandonia Network Data
- TOLNet Website
  - <u>Download TOLNet Data</u>
  - <u>TOLNet Data API</u>
- MPLNET Website
  - <u>MPLNET Sites</u>
  - MPLNET Web Services for Data Download and Plotting





## **Thank You!**



NASA ARSET - NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

### **MPLNET Training Appendix: Supplemental Material**



NASA ARSET – NASA Atmospheric Composition Ground Networks Supporting Air Quality and Climate Applications

### **MPLNET Supplemental Material: Remote Sensing 101 Overview**

Remote Sensing does not produce a direct measure of aerosol or cloud concentrations and properties. But concentrations and properties determine how they scatter and absorb sunlight, so remote sensing can be used to infer such information.

#### Incoming light is described by:

- its direction relative to observer
- wavelength (blue, green, red)
- intensity (or power)
- polarization (orientation and form of electromagnetic waves)

The intensity and polarization of light scattered by one particle in a given direction, or the intensity absorbed, is dependent upon particle size, shape, and index of refraction (composition).

The total intensity of scattered and absorbed light is dependent upon the number of particles (concentration).



Most aerosols are from 0.1 to 10  $\mu$ m in size. Smaller cloud droplets and ice particles also fall into this range. UV-VIS-NIR wavelengths are best to infer concentration and particle properties for this size range.

### **MPLNET Supplemental Material: Remote Sensing 101 Overview**

Remote Sensing does not produce a direct measure of aerosol or cloud concentrations and properties. But concentrations and properties determine how they scatter and absorb sunlight, so remote sensing can be used to infer such information.

#### Incoming light is described by:

- its direction relative to observer
- wavelength (blue, green, red)
- intensity (or power)
- polarization (orientation and form of electromagnetic waves)

The intensity and polarization of light scattered by one particle in a given direction, or the intensity absorbed, is dependent upon particle size, shape, and index of refraction (composition).

The total intensity of scattered and absorbed light is dependent upon the number of particles (concentration).



Most aerosols are from 0.1 to 10  $\mu$ m in size. Smaller cloud droplets and ice particles also fall into this range. UV-VIS-NIR wavelengths are best to infer concentration and particle properties for this size range.





Generic Lidar

Level 0, Raw Lidar Signal (counts/time):

 $P_{\text{RAW}}(r) = \left\{ \frac{O(r)E}{Dr^2} C\beta(r) e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma(r')dr'} \right\} + \frac{N_s + N_d}{D}$ 



Generic Lidar

Level 0, Raw Lidar Signal (counts/time):



- β: backscatter (what we want)
- $\sigma$ : extinction (what we want)

#### Instrument Parameters: must calibrate and remove

- D: detector deadtime
- Nd: detector dark count
- E: emitted laser energy
- O: receiver overlap (near surface signal loss)
- C: receiver efficiency parameter
- Ns: solar background at laser wavelength (measured with signal)
- r: range





Generic Lidar



Micro Pulse Lidar

Transceiver design to expand laser for eye safety Level 0, Raw Lidar Signal (counts/time):



β: backscatter (what we want)

 $\sigma$ : extinction (what we want)

#### Instrument Parameters: must calibrate and remove

- D: detector deadtime
- Nd: detector dark count
- E: emitted laser energy
- O: receiver overlap (near surface signal loss)
- C: receiver efficiency parameter
- Ns: solar background at laser wavelength (measured with signal)
- r: range

$$P_{\rm MPL}(r) = \left\{ \frac{O(r)E}{Dr^2} C\beta(r) e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma(r')dr'} \right\} + \frac{A(r)}{D} + \frac{N_s + N_d}{D}$$

Additional MPL Instrument Parameters: must calibrate and remove

- A: afterpulse (laser-detector crosstalk)
- O: receiver overlap is typically much longer with a transceiver design





Micro Pulse Lidar

Transceiver design to expand laser for eye safety

#### **Primary Job of MPLNET:**

Install and operate MPL sites and collect and archive the data. Calibrate instruments and transform raw data to Level 1 signal products. These are useable signals.

#### Level 1 Signal:

$$P_{\text{NRB}}(r) = C(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r))e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$$

M subscript: molecular P subscript: particle (aerosol or cloud)

MPLNET signal data are called normalized relative backscatter (NRB). They include the "receiver efficiency parameter" C, sometimes call the system constant.

NOTE: The CALIOP lidar on CALIPSO provides attenuated backscatter, calibration and removal of C. This is easier for satellites since they reside in a clean environment.

The polarized MPL uses co and cross polarized signals (in this case linear and elliptical). The ratio of the signals returned from each polarization state is the volume depolarization ratio.

References for processing MPLNET signal data on our website:

- Campbell et al., 2002
- Welton and Campbell, 2002





Micro Pulse Lidar

Transceiver design to expand laser for eye safety

#### **Primary Job of MPLNET:**

Install and operate MPL sites and collect and archive the data. Calibrate instruments and transform raw data to Level 1 signal products. These are useable signals.

#### Level 1 Signal:

$$P_{\text{NRB}}(r) = C(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r))e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$$
 particulate optical depth from surface to range z

M subscript: molecular P subscript: particle (aerosol or cloud)

MPLNET signal data are called normalized relative backscatter (NRB). They include the "receiver efficiency parameter" C, sometimes call the system constant.

NOTE: The CALIOP lidar on CALIPSO provides attenuated backscatter, calibration and removal of C. This is easier for satellites since they reside in a clean environment.

The polarized MPL uses co and cross polarized signals (in this case linear and elliptical). The ratio of the signals returned from each polarization state is the volume depolarization ratio.

References for processing MPLNET signal data on our website:

- Campbell et al., 2002
- Welton and Campbell, 2002



Micro Pulse Lidar

Transceiver design to expand laser for eye safety

#### **Primary Job of MPLNET:**

Install and operate MPL sites and collect and archive the data. Calibrate instruments and transform raw data to Level 1 signal products. These are useable signals.

#### Level 1 Signal:

$$P_{\text{NRB}}(r) = C(\beta_M(r) + \beta_P(r))e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_M(r')dr'}e^{-2\int_0^z \sigma_P(r')dr'}$$
 particulate optical depth from surface to range z

Note:

At altitudes above the aerosol and no clouds:

$$P_{NRB}(r) = C\beta_M(r)e^{-2MOD(0,r)}e^{-2AOL}$$

Molecular terms can be calculated from radiosonde or model (MPLNET uses NASA GOES-5) C can be determined from the measured signal and AOD from AERONET:

$$C = \frac{P_{NRB}(r)}{\beta_M(r)} e^{2MOD(0,r)} e^{2AOD}$$

Or if C is known, then the AOD can be calculated:

$$AOD = \frac{1}{2} ln \left[ \frac{C\beta_M(r)}{P_{NRB}(r)} \right] - MOD(0, r)$$



### **MPLNET Project Overview: Calibrations**



Site partner performs cals 1-2 months





review

New commercial solution from Aether Embedded Provides overlap calibration for old and new MPLs More rugged design, field tested Controller - Computer connection, remote control WFR data refined and improve MPL optical model

New: calculate accurate overlap from MPL specs

Measure lab and every day onsite (operational data), adjust routinely



Lab cals, monitor in field, adjust routinely



### **MPLNET Project Overview: Products in Development**

### MPLNET Level 3 Products: Monthly Diurnal Climatologies Examples – 10 Year Climatology from GSFC



10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24

Hour (UTC)

0 2 4

6 8





**Column AERONET AOD** 

Mixed Layer AOD

Mean sunrise

### MPLNET Light Precipitation Mask: Algorithm in development (early example shown)





Lolli, et al., JTECH, 2013. Lolli, et al, JTECH, 2017. Lolli, et al, Remote Sens., 2018. Lolli et al, Remote Sens., 2020.



## **Case Study Examples: Evaluation of Modeled PBL Heights**

MPLNET support for PBL studies: evaluation of WRF PBL performance in the Chesapeake Bay Area

Various WRF configurations were used, summary of conclusions:

- WRF PBL heights showed more rapid growth and higher peaks than MPLNET
- WRF lidar differences dependent on model configuration, calculation method, and synoptic conditions
- At inland locations WRF PBL heights descend earlier in the afternoon than MPLNET and radiosonde calculations



Hegarty, J., J. Lewis, E. McGrath-Spangler, J. Henderson, A. Scarino, P. DeCola, R. Ferrare, M. Hicks, R. Adams-Selin, and E. Welton, 2018. Analysis of the Planetary Boundary Layer Height during DISCOVER-AQ Baltimore – Washington, DC with Lidar and High-resolution WRF Modeling. J. Appl. Meteor. <u>Climatol</u>. doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-18-0014.1







Data show arrival of a dust plume in pre-dawn hours at GSFC Site. The dust eventually mixes in the boundary layer reaching the surface during the day. A quick estimate of the aerosol backscatter ratio (aerosol backscatter/total backscatter) and depolarization ratio can be calculated by fixing lidar ratio to 50 sr. The results can be used to determine an estimate of dust presence. This is demonstrated on the following slides, and can be used to forecast the arrival of dust to the surface. More advanced lidars could do a better job, even the addition of an extra wavelength would be helpful.







Dust layer evolves, still above nocturnal boundary layer At this time,

significant dust unlikely to reach surface, majority of dust above 1 km







Time (UTC)

